

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,470	06/26/2003	Duane Palme	1180.1101101	3511
7590 05/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Glenn M. Seager			DEVORE, PETER T	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1221 Nicollet Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55403-2420			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/606,470	PALME	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter T deVore	3751	

	Peter T deVore	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Judich the politica under 27 CER 1 136/s	a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTO							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal Will I	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper						
13. Other:		JUSTINE R. YU	2				
		JUSTINE R. YU					
PJ		IPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER	CAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

THIS PAGE BLANK INSPITE